



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,052	02/21/2002	John Scott Strachan	12395.00	9306
7590 10/24/2003		EXAMINER		
Frederick S Frei			SHAY, DAVID M	
Dorsey & Whitney 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 300 South			3739	
Washington, DC 20004			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	TA
	Application No.	Applicant(s) Strachan
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	d. sk	an 3739
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address
Period for Response		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE —)	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	lays, a response within the statuto default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Approx	118,2002	
☐ This action is FINAL .		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disp sition of Claims		
P Claim(s)/_//		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) /- / 0	is/are allowed.	
☑ Claim(\$)*//	is/åre rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)	·	
Application Papers		requirement.
 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 	wing Review PTO-948	
	wing neview, i io-340.	
	is □ approved	□ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapproved.
		□ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	ojected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ojected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents ha	(d). ave been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- c of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- c of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT F	(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/069,052

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The originally filed specification does not enablingly explain the structure which will "produce for each pulse an isolated traverse through the frequency made of the laser".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Exactly what structure is to be encompassed by the term "means for pulsing the laser with short duration pulses to produce for each pulse an isolated traverse through the frequency made of the laser" is unclear.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. L'Esperance, Jr. teaches a method and device of low level laser therapy wherein the relative phrases of two combined beams can be adjusted. Strachan ('995) teaches production of a beat frequency using two beams which are modulate at similar frequencies. Strachan ('500) teaches a diffraction grating design.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,052

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

None of the prior art teaches employing a phase cancellation element to produce destructive interferences of the center frequency lines; forming a beat frequency from the uncancelled frequency; and an aperture for selecting a portion of the Fresnel zone of the beam with a majority of destructive nodes relative to constructive nodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number 308-2215.

Shay/Dl

October 10, 2003

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330

Page 3